

Appln No. 10/728,971
Amdt. Dated October 14, 2004
Response to Office action of September 17, 2004

5

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In an Office Action mailed 17 September 2004, claims 1 to 4 and 7 were rejected under prior art grounds. The Examiner had indicated the allowability of claims 5 and 6 if re-written in independent form. In the reasons expressing allowable subject matter, the Examiner stated that the feature of "*nozzle arrangements are positioned so that the actuator arms of the nozzle arrangements are oriented at an acute angle with respect to a print medium feed direction*" is not found in the prior art.

Applicant thanks Examiner for the indication of allowable subject matter, and accordingly has amended claim 1 to incorporate the subject matter of claim 5. In order to provide a proper antecedent for the claim, claim 1 has also been amended to incorporate some of the subject matter of claim 4. Claim 4 has been amended to prevent repetition of features, 5 has been cancelled, and claim 6 has been amended to append to claim 1.

Whilst claim 5 was originally appended to claim 1 through claims 2, 3 and 4, the limitations of claims 2 -4 are not essential for the above mentioned feature of claim 5. Applicant contends that because claim 1 now incorporates the feature of claim 5, this is sufficient for claim 1 to be distinguished from the prior art. Accordingly, claims 2-4 are unamended.

Applicant contends that in light of these amendments, the application is now in order for allowance, and further consideration of the examination is respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,

Applicant:



KIA SILVERBROOK

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd
393 Darling Street
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com

Telephone: +612 9818 6633

Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.